

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/611,991	07/03/2003	Takahiro Kitano	KPO-164/DIV	3407	
23353 75	07/07/2004		EXAMINER		
RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC LION BUILDING			TADESSE, YEWEBDAR T		
1233 20TH STR	REET N.W., SUITE 501		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036		1734		

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
	10/611,991	KITANO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yewebdar T Tadesse	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perions are perions of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will expire SIX (6) MON the course the problem in the course the c	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm	านกication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	June 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	0. KO 10
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-25</u> is/are pending in the application	••		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) 18 is/are allowed.	awn from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,17,19 and 21-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	OF		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	conted or b) abjected to be	.45 - 5	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) he hold in shavens	y the Examiner.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing (a	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	The second of th	Since Action of John P10-1	5 2.
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	diantin- No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been re	polication No	
application from the International Bureau	I (PCT Rule 17 2/a))	ceived in this National Stag	je
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived	
	and common depicts not re	001 46 0.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Surr	imani (DTO 442)	
2) UNotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/N	fail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) L Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1734

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 16-17, 19, 21-25 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,616,760 in view of Kitano et al. (US 6,383,948) and Nagashima et al. (US 6,673,155). U.S. Patent No. '760 discloses a film forming unit for discharging a coating solution from a coating solution discharge nozzle toward a substrate to form a layer on a surface of the substrate comprising: a press type pump (diaphragm type pump) supplying the coating solution to the coating solution discharge nozzle, a pressure detector (a displacement gauge) for detecting the amount of press of the press member of the press-type pump (a detection mechanism detecting changes in the amount of push-on of the pump, a controller operating based on a detected value from the displacement gauge (a detecting mechanism). As to claims 16, 19, 21 and 24-25, '760 lacks claiming a transferring mechanism for transferring the nozzle with a transferring controller moving the nozzle along a continuous path, a substrate holding portion for

Art Unit: 1734

horizontally holding the substrate and moving relative the nozzle, a mask member positioned above the substrate and a pressure detector for detecting pressure in flow path for coating solution between the pump and the coating solution discharge nozzle. Kitano et al ('948) discloses (see Figs 1-3 and column 9, lines 14-27) nozzle/wafer driving section 36 having X and Y slider for moving (sliding) the nozzle with a control section C controlling the movement of the nozzle applying coating solution on a surface of the substrate along a continuous path and a substrate holding portion (2) moving relative to the nozzle and a mask member (4) positioned above the substrate. Kitano et al also discloses (see column 18, lines 3-10, column 19, lines 7-14 and Fig 19) pressure-detecting section 124 with a filter F capable of removing air bubbles in flow path between the pump and the nozzle. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to include pressure detector with a filter in the flow path for coating solution between the pump and the coating solution discharge nozzle in '760 to apply the desired thickness of the film by monitoring the pressure of the coating solution. It would also be obvious at the time the invention was made to include a transferring mechanism for transferring the nozzle with a transferring controller moving the nozzle along a continuous path, a substrate holding portion moving relative to the nozzle and a mask member positioned above the substrate in '760 to appropriately position the dispensing nozzle above the substrate in uniformly applying a liquid film. As to claim 17, '760 lacks teaching a controller controlling an alarm-generating portion. However, a controller controlling operation of an alarm generating member is well known in the art; for instance - Nagashima et al (US 6,673,155) discloses an alarm mechanism in

Art Unit: 1734

communication with the controller receiving detected signals. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to include an alarm generating portion in communication with the controller of '760 receiving the detected change value of the push-on pump to notify the operator about the operation condition so as an appropriate correcting action proceeds. A diaphragm type pump is considered to equivalent to a press-type pump because Kitano et al ('948) teaches (see column 18, lines 3-10, column 19, lines 7-14 and Fig 19) pump (122), which is a press type pump as being a bellow or a diaphragm pump. As to claim 22, '760 lacks teaching a mixing tank for mixing a coating solution of high viscosity. Kitano et al ('948) teaches (see Fig 16 and column 16, lines 1-28) mixing apparatus 50 for generating resist having different viscosity. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to include a mixing tank for mixing a coating solution of high viscosity in '760 to change viscosity of the resist appropriately as taught by Kitano et al ('948). As to claim 23, in '760 the coating solution is capable of being a resist solution.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claim 18 is allowed.
- 3. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1734

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Takeshita et al (US 6,248,168) discloses (see Fig 29) a film forming apparatus having a cleaning portion (453) for cleaning the coating solution discharge nozzle (450), wherein the nozzle is moved above the cleaning portion. However, Takeshita et al does not disclose a press type pump in communication with the cleaning portion. Prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a film forming unit for discharging a coating solution toward a substrate to form a layer on a surface of the substrate, comprising: among others, a press type pump sending the coating solution to the discharge nozzle, a cleaning portion for cleaning the coating solution discharge nozzle, wherein the coating solution discharge nozzle being moved to the cleaning portion by the drive mechanism for cleaning of the coating solution discharge nozzle when the amount of press of the press member of the press type pump goes out of a preset reference range. As to claim 20, Prior art of record does not disclose or suggest the combination - a film forming unit for discharging a coating solution toward a substrate to form a layer on a surface of the substrate, comprising: among others, a press type pump sending the coating solution to the discharge nozzle, a pressure detector for detecting pressure in a flow path of the coating solution between the press type pump and the coating solution discharge nozzle and a filter provided in the flow path for removing air bubbles from the coating solution, wherein the filter is made of a porous resin.

Art Unit: 1734

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 06/10/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argued that the double patenting rejection is improper because this application is a divisional application of now U.S. Patent No. 6,616,760 in which the examiner issued a restriction Requirement. Examiner respectfully disagrees because the claims have been changed in material from the claims at the time the restriction requirement was made. For instance, see claim 13 of US'760 and claim 1 of the instant application for their difference from the original claims of the application 09/736,397 (now U.S. Patent No. 6,616,760). See also MPEP 804.01 for more information about where the prohibition of double patenting rejections under 35 U.S.C. does not apply.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yewebdar T Tadesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-1238. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-4: 30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pembolar P-P YTT

> CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER AU 1734